

## Article 278: Dualities Are No So Dual.

It is frequently declared that without doubt there is no faith ...and there can be no faith, so let's look carefully at this. Doubt means to be uncertain, skeptical, or undecided about something, usually accompanied by a tendency to disbelieve or distrust, ...while faith on the other hand indicates a confident belief in the truth, value, or trustworthiness of someone or something even though there is no logical proof or material evidence that such a belief is correct. From these definitions, it is clear that if you doubt ...you don't have faith, and if you have faith ...you do not doubt.

Yet, it seems that this duality of "doubt and faith" has a problem, for if faith relies on the absence of doubt for its existence ...and doubt is the absence of faith, how can they possibly be an interactive duality? ...or a mutually supportive couplet? Besides, as a doubter, do you actually doubt your doubts? ...of course not! ...you have great faith in your doubts. You don't doubt your doubts, you know they are real and true ...and substantial. Faith it seems is *doubting your doubts* and *believing in your doubts*, ...and doubt is *having no faith in your faith*, merely *living in faith with no faith*, so how can faith and doubt be supportive opposites?

This certainly cannot be true of other dualities such as *worthy and unworthy*, ...can it? Well, let's see. If you feel worthy, then unworthy is not part of you or your worthiness. Actually, all unworthiness is worthy, if only as a worthy adversary, and if you feel unworthy, then your unworthy thoughts and feelings must be worthy, for if they were unworthy you wouldn't think or feel them, ...or even if you did, your unworthy thoughts and feelings would not be unworthy. This is getting interesting, ...what can we learn about *good and evil*?

If your evil judgments are evil, ...aren't they good? ...or at least, evil is judging evil ...for if it is good to judge evil, doesn't that mean that a judgment of evil is good? ...after all, can it really be good to judge goodness? ...for if something is good, then judging that good thing cannot be good, so judging good is not-good. Actually, judging what is good is self-righteous ...and that's certainly not good, ...or is it?

Isn't it unrighteous to judge what is righteous ...and righteous to judge what is unrighteous? ...and doesn't this intermix and confuse righteous and unrighteous such that one cannot be determined from the other?

It seems that your reliable dualities are not so reliable after all, for what you rely upon is not so reliable ...and you rely upon that, so maybe

unreliable is reliable after all, for if unreliable is unreliable you cannot rely on unreliable being unreliable, ...and you can rely on that!

Ask yourself, "Is it spiritual to judge or even consider that something is not spiritual?" ...and if physical is not spiritual, can physical even know spiritual or be spiritualized? ...for how ever would something that is not spiritual ever recognize spiritual? ...and what is the intermediate stage between physical and spiritual?...is that physical but not spiritual? ...or spiritual but not physical? ...and if something physical can instantly be spiritual, doesn't that mean that physical is simultaneously spiritual? Maybe spiritual is spiritual ...and everything physical is spiritual as well.

In a physical world,  
everything spiritual must be physical.

Do you dislike your dislikes? Do you dislike getting angry or jealous? Of course not! Ohh, you may not like the consequences of getting angry ...or being jealous, but if you truly disliked these feelings you wouldn't tolerate them ...and continue them, ...thus, there is a gain or benefit you like in getting angry or being jealous. And please don't say that these actions are habits you cannot break, for every habit is maintained just as long as the benefits and likes seem to outweigh the losses or dislikes.

Have you ever really declared your desirables to be undesirable? Aren't your undesirables like habits that offer you "secret" benefits? ...unacknowledged gains? ...or frequently denied desirables?

Are you self-confident about your insecurity ...or insecure about your self-confidence? You feel inferior about your superiority, ...if this were not so, you wouldn't feel superior, superior would be a non-issue; ...it seems that if you feel superior ...you don't feel superior you obviously feel superior about your inferior feelings.

If you are truly dependent upon your dependencies, you would be independent, ...therefore, you must feel independent about your dependencies, which makes you independent ...not dependent, ...or are you dependent upon your independencies? ...which make you dependent ...not independent. Do you create dependencies to feel independent? ...or act independent to feel comfortable in your dependency? Are you beginning to sense and understand the false complexities of dualities? And though you repress one of these seeming opposites, you are living the conflict of both, and the conflicts you experience are self-inflicted make believe.

You are not conflicted, ...however,

because of your duplicitous language,  
you are unaware of your awareness.

We have tried to indicate that in your dichotomy language a double-negative which creates a positive creates a negative, ...thus a double-negative creates a *third option* ...and understanding. Though you don't realize it, in your language, nothing ever really opposes or limits you, thus you have free will, ...however, in exercising that *free will offering of life*, you constantly judge ...and thus destroy your free will, ...does it seem wise to continue in these ways? ...for, after all, as death is a continuation of life...

You die as you live  
...and are reborn as you have lived and died.